

# Art's Commerce and Science College, Onde Tal:- Vikramgad, Dist:- Palghar

My Inspiration Shri. V.G. Patil Saheb Dr. V. S. Sonawne

Santosh Shivi Dhamone

## Lecture No-9: System of Linear Equations and Matrices

#### Santosh Shivlal Dhamone

Assistant Professor in Mathematics Art's Commerce and Science College,Onde Tal:- Vikramgad, Dist:- Palghar

santosh2maths@gmail.com

August 27, 2021





#### Contents

ly Inspiration nri. V.G. Patil Saheb Dr. V. S. Sonawne

Santosh Shivl Dhamone

Elementary row operations and their corresponding matrices
Examples





Sanjeevan Gramin Vidyakiya & Samajik Sahayata Pratishthan's

#### Arts.Commerce & Science College,Onde

Tal, Vikramgad, Dist, Palghar (MS)-401605

ISO-9001:2015 Certified



Linear Algebra - I

Unit I: System of Linear Equations and Matrices

Lecture - 9



Santosh Shivlal Dhamone

Arts Commerce and Science College, Onde



My Inspiration hri. V.G. Patil Saheb Dr. V. S.

Santosh Shivle

#### 3 Elementary row operations and their corresponding matrices

As we'll see shortly, each of the 3 elementary row operations can be performed by multiplying the augmented matrix  $(A|\mathbf{y})$  on the  $b(p^k)$  by what we'll call an elementary matrix. Just so this doesn't come as a total shock, let's look at some simple matrix operations:

- Suppose EA is defined, and suppose the first row of E is (1,0,0,...,0). Then the first
  row of EA is sterted to the first row of A.
- Similarly, if the t<sup>th</sup> row of E is all zeros except for a 1 in the t<sup>th</sup> slot, then the t<sup>th</sup> row of the product EA is identical to the t<sup>th</sup> row of A.
- It follows that if we want to change only row i of the matrix A, we should multiply A
  on the left by some matrix E with the following property:

Every row except row i should be the  $r^{t_0}$  row of the corresponding identity matrix.

The procedure that we illustrate below can (and is) used to reduce any matrix to echelon form (not just augmented matrices).

Exemple: Le

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

1. To multiply the first row of A by 1/3, we can multiply A on the left by the elementary matrix

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{8} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

The result is

$$E_1A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{4}{3} & \frac{5}{3} \\ 2 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

You should check this on your own. Same with the remaining computations.



My Inspiration hri. V.G. Patil Saheb Dr. V. S.

Santosh Shivla Dhamone 2. To add -2\*row1 to row 2 in the resulting matrix, multiply it by .

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

to obtain

$$E_2E_1A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{4}{3} & \frac{9}{9} \\ 0 & -\frac{11}{3} & -\frac{10}{3} \end{pmatrix}$$
.

3. Now multiply row 2 of  $E_2E_1A$  by -3/11 using the matrix

$$E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{12} \end{pmatrix}$$
,

$$E_0E_0E_0A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{5}{8} & \frac{5}{8} \\ 0 & 1 & 18 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

4. Finally, we clean out the second column by adding (-4/3) row 2 to row 1. We multiply  $_{\rm loc}$ 

$$E_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{2}{3} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

obtainins

violding

$$E_4E_6E_2E_1A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{b}{11} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{bb}{11} \end{pmatrix}$$
.

Of course we get the same result as before, so why bother? The answer is that we're in the process of developing an algorithm that will work in the general case. So it's about time to formally identify our goal in the general case. We begin with some definitions.

Definition: The leading only of a matrix row is the first non-zero entry in the row, starting from the left. A row without a leading entry is a row of zeros.

Definition: The matrix R is said to be in *schelon form* provided that

1. The leading entry of every non-zero row is a 1.



ly Inspiration ri. V.G. Patil Saheb Dr. V. S.

Santosh Shivla Dhamone  If the leading entry of row i is in position k, and the rest cow is not a row of serve, then the leading entry of row i+1 is in position k+j, where j≥1.

3. All zero rows are at the bottom of the matrix.

The following matrices are in orbelon form:

$$=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \times \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here the asterisks (\*) stand for any number at all, including 0.

Definition: The matrix R is said to be in reduced exhelon form if (a) R is in eclusion form, and (b) each leading entry is the only non-zero entry in its column. The reduced exhelon form of a matrix is also called the Gauss Joulan form.

The following matrices are in reduced row echelon form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

because: Suppose A is  $3 \times 5$ . What is the maximum number of leading  $\Gamma$ s that can appear when it's been reduced to echelon form? Same questions for  $A_{Soll}$ . Can you generalize your results to a statement for  $A_{min}$ ?. (State it as a theorem.)

Once a matrix has been brought to relative form, it can be put into reduced echelon form by electring out the non-zero entries in any releasing outstaining a leading 1. For example, if

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$



My Inspiration Shri. V.G. Patil Saheb Dr. V. S.

Santosh Shivla

which is in echelon form, then it can be reduced to Gauss-Jordan form by adding (-2)cos 2-to row 1, and then (-3)cos 3 to row 1. Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 5 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 5 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that column 3 cannot be "cleaned out" since there's no leading 1 there.

There is one more elementary row operation and corresponding elementary matrix we may need. Suppose we want to reduce the following matrix to Game-Jerdan form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Multiplying row 1 by 1/2, and then adding row 1 to row 3 leads to

$$E_2E_1A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \frac{-1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we can cheely do 2 more operations to get a builting 1 in the (229) position, and another leading 1 in the (222) position. But this word be in related form (why we?) We much to interchange was 2 and 3. Two corresponds to changing the surface of the equations, and relatedly dewn? change the solutions. We can accomplete the by multiplying on the left with a matrix distinct from 1 by interchanges was 2 and 3.

$$E_3E_2E_1A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \frac{-1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & -2 & \frac{5}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \frac{-1}{2} \\ 0 & -2 & \frac{5}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$



My Inspiration Shri. V.G. Patil Saheb Dr. V. S.

Santosh Shivla

Exercise: Without doing any further computation, write down the Gauss-Jordan form for this matrix.

Exercise: Use elementary matrices to reduce

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

to Gauss-Jordan form. You should wind up with an expression of the form

$$E_0 \cdot \cdot \cdot E_2 E_1 A = I.$$

What can you say about the matrix  $B=E_0\cdots E_2E_1?$